





SOCIO-SCIENTIFIC ISSUES









This *worksheet* is based on the work within the project Intercultural learning in mathematics and science initial teacher education (IncluSMe). Coordination: Prof. Dr. Katja Maaß, International Centre for STEM Education (ICSE) at the University of Education Freiburg, Germany. Partners: University of Nicosia, Cyprus; University of Hradec Králové, Czech Republic; University of Jaen, Spain; National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece; Vilnius University, Lithuania; University of Malta, Malta; Utrecht University, Netherlands; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway; Jönköping University, Sweden; Constantine the Philosopher University, Slovakia.

The project Intercultural learning in mathematics and science initial teacher education (IncluSMe) has received co-funding by the Erasmus+ programme of the European Union under grant no. 2016-1-DE01-KA203-002910. Neither the European Union/European Commission nor the project's national funding agency DAAD are responsible for the content or liable for any losses or damage resulting of the use of these resources.

IncluSMe project (grant no. 2016-1-DE01-KA203-002910) 2016-2019, lead contributions by Romero-Ariza, M., Quesada, A. & Abril, A. M. University of Jaén, Jaén, Spain. CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0 license granted (find explicit terms of use at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/deed.en)







Pädagogische Hochschule Freiburg Université des Sciences de l'Education · University of Education











I. Experiencing Socio-Scientific Issues (SSI) as reflective learners Activity 1.1: Introduction Presentation Image: the second s

The purpose of this short activity (10 min) is to briefly introduce what culture is and motivate the topic: what are Socio-Scientific Issues and why are they interesting for science teachers working in culturally diverse classrooms?

Socio-Scientific Issues (SSI) are related to science and technology in nowadays societies and usually entail controversy due to the social, ethical and environmental implication of some scientific and technological advances. They have the potential to:

- Trigger students' engagement with the topic and the need to express opinions, thus enhancing communication and learning.
- Provide powerful opportunities to develop a better understanding of science and its applications and implications.
- Bring a pedagogical approach based on argumentation and the consideration of diverse perspectives (scientific, social, ethical, moral, cultural, economical, environmental).
- Promote argumentation skills and critical thinking.
- Require a classroom atmosphere of democratic deliberation and respect, which is of special value in culturally diverse classrooms













Getting a deeper understanding and reconsidering opinions

Search for information in order to get a deeper understanding of the SSI discussed in the previous activity. It is important to inquiry about the issue trying to unfold different perspectives (scientific, social, ethical, environmental, health...). Evaluate the implications of the issue at different levels (individual, social and global). You should reflect on the reliability of the sources of information consulted and be aware of the potential existence of bias.

After inquirying on the issue and carefully reflecting on the basis of different arguments and the wide range of implications, review the opinions and positions expressed in activity 2 and classify your arguments according to the following categories:

- Superficial (with no evidence-based claims).
- Subjective (drawing on personal funds of Knowledge: personal experiences or beliefs...).
- Objective (based on academic/scientific evidence).
- Authentic (combining subjective and objective evidence).

This reference could help you: Balgopal, M.M., Wallace, A.M, Dahlberg, S. (2017) Writing from different cultural contexts: How college students frame an environmental SSI through written arguments. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *54*(2), 195-218. DOI: 10.1002/tea.21342.





















and interests.



Use media (videos, news, advertisements...) to introduce the selected SSI in a catching way.





II. Designing SSI as teachers in small groups

Activity 2.2: Mapping controversy and preparing scaffolding



Work in small groups



60 mins

The second step in the design of a teaching proposal for enhancing science learning through the use of SSI requires the controversy map and the preparation of scaffolding to support students.

At this point you should inquiry into the selected SSI yourself in order to identify key aspect to discuss and learn about, advance possible students' difficulties and prepare guiding questions to support effective inquiry and reasoning.

Special emphasis should be placed on:

- The identification of different types of arguments: scientific, social, ethical, economical, environmental...).
- The evaluation of contrasting points of views considering benefits versus risks and implications at different levels (individually/locally/globally).
- The critical examination of bias and reliability concerning the sources of information.





II. Designing SSI as teachers in small groups

Activity 2.3: Encouraging action taking



Work in small groups



10 mins

An interesting reason for introducing SSI into the science classroom is related to enabling students to make-informed decisions and become active and responsible citizens. The purpose of this activity is to think of potential ways to encourage students' consequent actions in relation to SSI. In your teaching proposal, you have to empower students to make a relevant contribution to their own lives/communities.

For instance, after exploring and discussing the controversy related to the consumption of red and processed meat, students can decide to make a brochure to disseminate important information relating to the risk of developing cancer within the community (school and families) or write a letter to advice public canteens to reduce the intake of red and processed meat.

Think about that in your SSI context and explain the action your future students could undertake in this respect.













Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

III. Discussing and improving activities as reflective practitioners

Activity 3.2: Improving the design of classroom activities according to quality criteria



Work in small groups



30 mins

Socio-Scientific Issues

Your small group will be provided with quality criteria to critically evaluate the design of SSIbased classroom activities.

The following table includes the quality criteria and they will be used as an instrument for self and peer evaluation. That is, the classroom activities have to be evaluated and improved according to these quality criteria.

Table 1: Quality criteria to improve the design of classroom activities based on the use of SSI (*).

Key aspect	Quality criteria
Introduction and hook	Good use of media (videos, ads) to introduce relevant SSI to students. Well adapted to students' age and interests. Motivating/engaging. Positive and negative views.
Mapping Controversy	The topic is related to scientific/technological advances and controversial. Different dimensions are analysed in an accurate/critical way (scientific, social, economical, environmental, health) Counter arguments are taken into account: it might include different interest's groups, evaluation of benefits/risks; individual/local/global. Awareness of reliability issues and potential bias.
Curriculum	There are consistent and specific links to the school curriculum (competences, standards, content). Curricular elements are defined in an correct way. Learning goals are consistent with the SSIBL approach.
Assessment	The assessment criteria and processes are consistent with the learning goals related to the use of SSI in culturally diverse classrooms. The assessment criteria are defined (expressed) in an appropriate way.
Scaffolding	 The questions for scaffolding: draw attention on key aspects advance potential students' difficulties and guide students promote students' reflection and argumentation are well formulated Strategies to support students' argumentation skills are applied: e.g. levels of disagreement, nature of the arguments, quality of the evidence
Taking	Students are asked to conduct activities or make products that require informed decision
Action	making and/or action taken.

(*) Teacher educators can decide to present and discuss table 1 in the beginning of task 2 (designing SSI as teachers) instead of at this point, in order to make pre-service teachers aware of the key aspect to take into account when designing good SSI-based classroom activities.

