

Guidelines for Open Learning Environments

**Including Environmental Socio-Scientific Issues
in Science and Mathematics Initial Teacher
Education**



This document is based on the work within the project Environmental Socio-Scientific Issues in Initial Teacher Education (ENSITE). ENSITE has received co-funding by the Erasmus+ programme of the European Union (grant no. 2019-1-DE01 -KA203-005046). Coordination: Prof. Dr. Katja Maaß, UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION FREIBURG, Germany. Partners: UNIVERSITEIT UTRECHT, Netherlands; ETHNIKO KAI KAPODISTRIAKO PANEPISTIMIO ATHINON, ; UNIVERSITÄT KLAGENFURT, Austria; UNIVERZITA KARLOVA, Czech Republic; UNIVERSITA TA MALTA, Malta; HACETTEPE UNIVERSITY, Turkey; NORGES TEKNISK-NATURVITENSKAPELIGE UNIVERSITET NTNU, Norway; UNIVERSITY OF NICOSIA, Cyprus; INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS AT THE BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, Bulgaria; UNIVERZITA KONSTANTINA FILOZOFA V NITRE, Slovakia.

The creation of these resources has been co-funded by the Erasmus+ programme of the European Union under grant no. 2019-1-DE01-KA203-005046. Neither the European Union/European Commission nor the project's national funding agency [DAAD] are responsible for the content or liable for any losses or damage resulting of the use of these resources.

© ENSITE project (grant no. 2019-1-DE01 -KA203-005046) 2019-2022, lead contributions by University of Education, Freiburg. CC-NC-SA 4.0 license granted.



Including Environmental Socio-Scientific Issues in Science and Mathematics Initial Teacher Education

Guidelines for Open Learning Environments

Executive summary

Within the ENSITE project, this document provides a set of guidelines to support the design of high quality learning environment for Initial Teacher Education (ITE), with a special emphasis on improving future science and mathematics teachers` Higher Education (HE) by including environmental Socio-Scientific Issues (SSIs) in ITE. The recommendations are based on a literature review including political documents and expert reports about Quality Assurance in Higher Education, Teacher Qualifications and SSI. The guidelines are organised according to three main domains: content, methods and resources.

Introduction

These guidelines have been developed within the Erasmus+ project ENSITE (Environmental Socio-Scientific Issues in Initial Teacher Education). This project intends to make a contribution in the preparation of science and mathematics teachers by developing their competences in dealing with environmental SSI themselves and in acquiring teaching skills to support their students in developing these competences. Prospective mathematics and science teachers need to take into account that learning “of and about” science” (Osborne and Dillon 2008) includes social, cultural and ethical dimensions thereby fostering young people`s understanding of science as well as its implications and limits.

Within that context, the main objective of this document is to support teacher educators in the development of high quality learning environments (OECD, 2009; OECD, 2011) for teacher initial education with a focus on teaching environmental SSIs in mathematics and science teaching (further referred to as science).

Special attention will be paid to SSI applied to science and mathematics teacher education, quality standards in higher education and the integration of Open Educational Resources (OER). An extended theoretical foundation based on the specialised literature and in a review of referents for quality assurance and science and mathematics teacher qualifications may be found in Ariza, Quesada and Abril (2017).

The following guidelines are organised according to three big domains: content, methods and resources.

Content

In order to ensure appropriate, relevant and high quality content, the modules for teacher initial education should:

- **Keep in mind the target audience** and adapt to their needs and expectations (teacher educators and their respective student teachers at higher education level).
- **Select relevant topics.** A topic is considered relevant when it deals with key issues to prepare teachers for the specific challenges encountered when including environmental SSI's in science teaching. When developing the learning environments, it is important to reflect on the relevancy of the selected topics and explain their value and usefulness for prospective teachers to enhance science and mathematics learning in with environmental issues relevant to society.
- **Differ between two different dimensions:** They support teachers in (A) developing competences in dealing with environmental SSI themselves ("LEARNING") and/or (B) they support teachers in acquiring teaching skills to supporting their students in developing these competences ("TEACHING").
- **Relate your module** to (i) scientific competences, (ii) transversal skills like critical thinking, innovative mind-sets and forward-looking skills and (iii) taking into account the social, ethical and cultural aspects related to SSI when making decisions.
- **Provide explicit definition** of the learning outcomes expected in the prospective teachers taking part in the program (ESG, 2015). These learning outcomes should take into account the knowledge, values, dispositions and skills necessary for teaching environmental SSIs in science and mathematics.
- Develop and use **appropriate and responsive resources and strategies** to facilitate science and mathematics learning in diverse classrooms (with students diverse in relation to performance, socio-cultural background and cultural background, including ICT, the combination of multiple means and modes of communication, practical and collaborative activities and meaningful links to students' previous experiences and background (UNESCO, 2007, 2011, 2015; Zandvliet, 2012). Socio-Scientific Issues (SSI) are considered powerful scenarios to encourage communication and the consideration of multiple perspectives and thus a potential approach to enhance science learning in diverse classrooms. A module based on this topic should take into account current understanding on how to support teachers to use SSI to enhance science education, with consideration of cultural issues (Byrne et al., 2014; Christenson, & Chang, 2015; Gutierrez, 2015; Ideland et al., 2011; Morin et al., 2013; Paraskeva-Hadjichambi et al., 2015; Ratcliffe & Grace, 2003; Zeidler et al., 2013).

- The modules should include information to allow a **consistent evaluation of the learning outcomes** previously defined, by suggesting appropriate assessment criteria and methods.
- The content of the modules for teacher initial education should take into account the specialised research/literature in the field and successful projects/experiences focusing on the same/similar topics.

Pedagogical approaches and methods

The following guidelines are intended at promoting the use of appropriate methods in the modules developed for teacher initial education:

- Ensure consistency between the explicit and implicit messages being delivered through teacher initial education.
- The methods used in Higher Education to prepare teachers for facilitating science and mathematics learning by including environmental SSIs should reflect and integrate those pedagogical approaches we want teachers to uptake in their future career. This recommendation is considered a basic principle for internal consistency in the implicit messages delivered through teacher initial education.
- For instance, using practical or experiential activities, multimedia resources and collaborative learning may be very helpful approaches for facilitating learning for diverse students. Therefore, the learning environments to be developed should include these kinds of activities for teacher initial education. For example, instead of lecturing, teacher educators may draw on introductory videos to make prospective teachers reflect on the specific challenges that could be encountered when dealing with SSI, and make teachers' students to discuss and negotiate meanings and solutions in a collaborative way.
- Include student centred pedagogies (ESG, 2015), such as Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL), Problem-Based Learning (PBL), collaborative work, outdoor pedagogy, hands-on and experiential activities based on current understanding on effective teaching and learning processes and successful teacher professional development.
- Include activities that make explicit teachers' beliefs and concerns and provide teacher educators with strategies to build on them.
- Enable flexibility and adaptability to different teacher students. This latter guideline refers to the use of methods that allow adaptation to different learning paces and teacher students' backgrounds, for instance, through the use of collaborative open-ended activities, which could be approached by teacher students in very different ways.

Resources, materials and environments

Along with guidelines for the quality of content and methods, the learning environments should be designed to promote free access, usability, adaptability and sustainability of the modules and resources being produced. The following guidelines provide support to address these issues. In this line we recommend:

- Using easily available and potentially contextualised resources. An example on how this recommendation may be met is by providing guidelines about how teacher educators can search for updated news from their own local context to prompt a particular debate in the classroom.
- Taking into account guidelines for the development and use of Open Educational Resources (UNESCO, 2015).
- Using computer-based resources to facilitate and enhance learning. An example may be the application of computer simulations to make visible the non-visible, represent abstract ideas or allow connections between different languages and modes of representation in science and maths (Ariza & Quesada, 2014).
- Drawing on principles about multimedia learning and specialised research on effective use of computer-based education (Ariza & Quesada, 2014; Mayer, 2002; Trouche et al., 2013; Zandvliet et al., 2012).
- Ensuring adaptability to different contexts and educational needs by using editable formats and publication under the Creative Commons license.
- When possible, taking into account the granularity principle. It refers to the development of independent meaningful learning objects with an optimum size, which could be easily combined in different ways, increasing versatility, adaptability and thus, usability of the modules and materials being produced.
- Providing resources with appropriate meta-data to facilitate the identification of materials and their use (target group, relevant topics, estimated time, key competences to be developed...).
- When possible, enrich the materials with information about previous experiences with them and illustrative case studies. This information will enhance the understanding of contextual factors, disseminate good practices and allow the potential adaptation to different contexts.
- Facilitating the integration into different virtual learning environments through the use of technical standards for interoperability and compatibility.

Annex I provides a set of guiding questions based on the general guidelines presented above, that may be used for evaluating the quality of learning environments and reflecting on how to improve them.

References:

- Ariza, M.R. & Quesada, A. (2014). ICT and meaningful science learning. *Enseñanza de las Ciencias*, 32(1), 101-115.
- Ariza, M.R., Quesada, A., & Abril, A.M. (2017). Enhancing Science and Mathematics Education in Multicultural Contexts: Guidelines for Open Learning Environments. In *INTED2017 Proceedings 11th International Technology, Education and Development Conference* (pp. 9458-9466). Valencia: IATED Academy.
- Byrne, J., Ideland, M., Malmberg, C., & Grace, M. (2014). Climate Change and Everyday Life: Repertoires children use to negotiate a socio-scientific issue. *International Journal of Science Education*, 36(9), 1491-1509.
- Caena, F. (2011). Literature review Teachers core competences: requirements and development. European Commission Thematic Working Group on Professional Development of Teachers. Brussels: European Commission.
- Christenson, N., & Chang Rundgren, S. N. (2015). A Framework for Teachers' Assessment of Socio-scientific Argumentation: An example using the GMO issue. *Journal of Biological Education*, 49(2), 204-212.
- European Commission (2013a). Supporting teacher competence development for better learning outcomes. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- European Commission (2013b). Report to the European Commission on improving the quality of teaching and learning in Europe's higher education institutions. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- Gopal, A. (2011). Internationalization of higher education: Preparing faculty to teach cross-culturally. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 23(3), 373-381.
- Gutierrez, S. B. (2015). Integrating Socio-Scientific Issues to Enhance the Bioethical Decision-Making Skills of High School Students. *International Education Studies*, 8(1), 142.
- Ideland, M., Malmberg, C., & Winberg, M. (2011). Culturally equipped for socio- scientific issues? A comparative study on how teachers and students in mono- and multiethnic schools handle work with complex issues. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(13), 1835-1859.
- Krajewski, S. (2011). Developing intercultural competence in multilingual and multicultural student groups. *Journal of Research in International Education*, 10(2), 137-153.
- Mayer, R. E. (2002). Cognitive theory and the design of multimedia instruction: an example of the two-way street between cognition and instruction. *New directions for teaching and learning*, 89, 55-71.
- Morin, O., Tytler, R., Barraza, L., Simonneaux, L., & Simonneaux, J. (2013). Cross cultural exchange to support reasoning about socio-scientific sustainability issues. *Teaching Science*, 59(1), 16.

OECD (2009). *Creating Effective Teaching and Learning Environments. First Results from TALIS*. Paris: OECD Publications <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/17/51/43023606.pdf>

OECD (2011) *Preparing Teachers and Developing School Leaders for 21st Century - Lessons from around the world (Background Report for the International Summit on the Teaching Profession)*.

Paraskeva-Hadjichambi, D., Hadjichambis, A. C., & Korfiatis, K. (2015). How Students' Values Are Intertwined with Decisions in a Socio-Scientific Issue. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 10(3), 493-513.

Osborne, J.F., & Dillon, J. (2008). *Science Education in Europe: Critical Reflections*. London: The Nuffield Foundation.

Ratcliffe, M., & Grace, M. (2003). *Science education for citizenship: Teaching socio- scientific issues*. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).

Shimizu, Y., & Williams, G. (2013). Studying learners in intercultural contexts. In *Third international handbook of mathematics education* (pp. 145-167). New York: Springer.

Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG). (2015). Brussels: EURASHE.

Tobin, K. (2012). Sociocultural perspectives on science education. In B.J. Fraser, K. Tobin & C. J. McRobbie (Eds.), *Second international handbook of science education* (pp. 3-17). Springer Netherlands.

Trouche, L., Drijvers, P., Gueudet, G., & Sacristan, A. I. (2013). Technology-driven developments and policy implications for mathematics education. In *Third international handbook of mathematics education* (pp. 753-789). New York: Springer.

UNESCO (2007). *Unesco Guidelines on Intercultural Education*. Paris: UNESCO. UNESCO (2011). *Unesco ICT Competency Framework for Teachers*. Paris: UNESCO.

UNESCO (2015). *Guidelines for Open Educational Resources (OER) in Higher Education*. Paris: UNESCO.

Zandvliet, D. B. (2012). ICT learning environments and science education: Perception to practice. In B.J. Fraser, K. Tobin & C. J. McRobbie (Eds.), *Second International Handbook of Science Education* (pp. 1277-1289). New York: Springer.

Zeidler, D. L., Herman, B. C., Ruzek, M., Linder, A., & Lin, S. S. (2013). Cross-cultural epistemological orientations to socio-scientific issues. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 50(3), 251-283.

Annex I. Guiding questions for the quality evaluation of learning environments

Based on the general guidelines for the design of high quality learning environments, the following questions can be used to guide the evaluation and improvement of the modules.

Key aspect to be evaluated	Guiding questions	Do you have any concrete suggestion to improve this aspect?
Adaptation to the target group	Are the activities appropriate for the target group (adapted to their capacities, needs and interests)?	
Relevancy	Are the topics and themes being developed important to build teachers' capacity to deal with environmental SSIs and to support students in developing these competences? Is the content appropriate and relevant to work on the selected topic ¹ ? Do you miss any relevant aspect/content to develop the selected topic ¹ ?	
Learning outcomes	Does the module include the definition of consistent learning outcomes in terms of the competences to be achieved by teachers through engaging in the activities?	

¹ Examples of selected topics are socio-scientific issues, language in mathematics education, etc.

Key aspect to be evaluated	Guiding questions	Do you have any concrete suggestion to improve this aspect?
Assessment	Does the module include assessment criteria, instruments or procedures that allow teacher educators to evaluate to what extent participants have achieved the learning outcomes previously defined?	
Foundation	Does the module include references to back up the design of the module or the activities being proposed? Does the module include interesting or useful literature references or links to previous successful experiences in the field?	
Pedagogy	Are teachers encouraged to express their beliefs and concerns in order to build on them? Are the examples, activities and tasks appropriate and relevant for working on the selected topic ¹ ?	
Learners' centred pedagogy	Do the activities offer pre-service teachers the opportunity to play an active role in their own training process (collaborating, discussing, reflecting, building, designing, enacting...)?	
Usability	Can the activities and resources proposed be easily implemented in daily practice? Is the power point presentation well structured and easily understood?	

Key aspect to be evaluated	Guiding questions	Do you have any concrete suggestion to improve this aspect?
Flexibility	Does the module encompass activities and resources that can be easily modified and adapted to different contexts?	
Granularity	Does the module encompass a wide range of short activities that can be flexibly used and combined to adapt to different needs and context?	
Open Education Resources	Does the module include Open Educational Resources (OER)? OER are open access resources that frequently are computer-based materials that can be edited and modified to adapt to different users.	
Technology	Does the module make an effective use of media and current technological resources (videos, simulations, social networks, wikis, forums...)	
Metadata	Does the module provide appropriate meta-data to allow potential users to identify and select the resources according to their needs and interests?	
Contextual information and illustrative cases	Does the module include information about previous experiences related to the implementation of the activities and resources being described (contextual information, case studies...illustrative best practices...)	

